## Chapter 4

## Could the Earth Really Be Flat?

"He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him" (Proverbs 18:13).
"There is a crisis in cosmology. Usually in science, if we are off by factor of two or a factor of ten, we call that horrible. We say, 'Something's wrong with the theory we're off by a factor of ten. However, in cosmology, we're off by a factor of 10 to the 120. That is 1 with 120 zeros after it. This the largest mismatch between theory and experiment in the history of science." ${ }^{1}$ - Michio Kaku, famous American Theoretical Physicist and Professor of Theoretical Physics at the City College of New York.
"In order to analyze the dynamics of damaged aircraft the dynamic EQUATIONS of motion MUST PROPERLY REFLECT THE UNDERLYING PHYSICS...In this paper, the rigid body EQUATIONS of motion over a FLAT, NON-ROTATING EARTH ARE DEVELOPED. " ${ }^{2}$

[^0]- General Equations of Motion for a Damaged Asymmetric Aircraft by Barton J. Bacon and Irene M. Gregory pp. 1-2, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, 23681
"I'm an Electrical Engineer with over 20+ year experience designing antennas and phased arrays with EM codes like HFSS, NEC, FEKO, CST Microwave Studio, and MOM and FEM codes I wrote in Matlab. I worked exclusively for defense contractors in the US on very exciting projects. Later I transitioned to RADAR and Electronic Warfare and have worked in various locations, on western test ranges, and projects across the US. I'm currently a gov technical advisor on EW projects. I've started my career working on a cold war intelligence surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) aircraft in the 90's for Raytheon, I touched a few space assets, and worked on a number of advanced LO aircraft, but in retrospect the job I least enjoyed turned out to be the most important: I worked as a guidance navigation and control (GNC) engineer on the Minutemen ICBM program at Vandenberg AFB for almost two years. I found it very boring at the time, except shortly before a launch and shortly after, looking at the telemetry data and plotting performance charts etc. After finding out about the flat Earth phenomena few years ago while processing RADAR signal detections from high altitude payloads, everything now makes perfect sense! I've come to the conclusion the globe is an illusion in plain sight.'
- Jay Tolan, Los Angeles, California, Facebook post on August 15, 2019

You have heard the old saying that God works in mysterious ways, well He also works through unusual avenues. That's what He did one Sunday after church when the children of one of my church members rushed up to tell me about a brandy commercial they had seen on television.

Yes, a Hennessey Cognac commercial of all things! I first shared Biblical cosmology with my congregation in November of 2015, they received it well and began doing their own research. So because they understood what the Bible says about God's firmament and the waters above, these children immediately recognized the significance of the imagery in the Hennessey commercial.

The commercial features the Swiss/Belgium physicist, inventor, and explorer Dr. Auguste Piccard in an aluminum capsule as it ascends high into the sky being lifted by a balloon. It shows Dr. Piccard viewing the earth from that high altitude through a porthole in the capsule. Next it appears that the capsule breaks through a barrier (the firmament) into water and then suddenly it switches to Piccard's son exploring the ocean depths.

When I saw the video, I was amazed. The Illuminaticontrolled media and companies were once again tipping their hand as they do. The message in the Hennessey commercial was clear, "We know the earth is flat and covered by the firmament dome. And we know that there is water above the firmament and not the empty vacuum of space. " Of course, Dr. Piccard and his assistant Paul Kipfer did not actually break through the firmament.

After the children showed me the commercial that Sunday morning, I immediately began researching Dr. Auguste Piccard who I had not heard of in all my years of school or research. It was May 27, 1931 when he and his assistant Paul Kipfer took off from Augsburg, Germany with a giant, yellow hydrogen balloon carrying the two scientists in a pressurized aluminum capsule that was designed by Piccard. They were the first men to reach the stratosphere at a recordbreaking altitude of 51,775 feet or almost ten miles. That
record was of course later broken and then sadly, in an obvious attempt to rewrite history, Piccard's grandson stated that his grandfather was the first man to see the curvature of the earth with his own eyes. However, the recorded testimony of Auguste Piccard is quite the opposite.

During my research I came across a short article in Popular Science Magazine from August 1931 about Piccard's ascent to the stratosphere. It was entitled: Ten Miles High in an Air-Tight Balloon. As I read the article, I came to the most amazing part of the story, "The story of their adventure surpasses fiction. During the ascent, the aluminum ball began to leak. They plugged it desperately with Vaseline and cotton waste, stopping the leak. In the first half hour, the balloon shot upward nine miles. Through portholes, the observers saw the earth through coppercolored, then bluish, haze. IT SEEMED A FLAT DISK WITH AN UPTURNED EDGE. At the ten-mile level the sky appeared a deep, dark blue. With observations complete, the observers tried to descend, but couldn't. While their oxygen tanks emptied, they floated aimlessly over Germany, Austria, and Italy. Cool evening air contracted the balloon's gas and brought them down on a glacier near Ober-Gurgl, Austria, with one hour's supply of oxygen to spare." ${ }^{3}$

[^1]

I could hardly believe what I was reading. What a find! A well-respected scientist, inventor, and explorer who was the first man to reach that height described the shape of the earth as "...a flat disk with an upturned edge." The "upturned edge" description makes it crystal clear that Piccard did not see even the slightest curvature of the earth. He plainly stated the earth was a flat disk with an UPTURNED EDGE, not a downward curve. I was so amazed by Dr. Piccard's testimony of the shape of the earth that I immediately did an internet search for a copy of the August 1931 edition of Popular Science Magazine. By God's providence, I found one for sale on eBay for fifteen dollars. I bought it and within a week I was holding the magazine with the article about Piccard. Shortly thereafter, I shared this story and showed my copy of the Popular Science Magazine in my first Flat Earth YouTube video. The August Piccard segment of my video went viral because it was confirmation of the truth so many people had been researching.

Could Auguste Piccard have been hallucinating or just inaccurate in his description of the shape of the earth? Well, on September 30, 1933, the USSR launched the Stratostat

SSSR which was their first world record-breaking flight. They used a larger balloon and a much-improved version of Piccard's capsule/gondola to break his high-altitude record. They reached an altitude of 60,695 feet which was confirmed by the International Aeronautical Federation. Like Piccard's, this Russian flight was also written about in Popular Science Magazine. Though modern accounts of these balloon flights conveniently leave out the details of what these early pilots really saw, the old science magazines and newspaper reports of that time tell the truth. Here is what the article in the December 1933 edition of Popular Science states about the Russian balloon flight:
"Soaring in their airtight balloon gondola to a recordbreaking height of 11.8 miles above the earth, the other day, three Russian aeronauts brought back the first scientific observations ever made at so great an altitude. Above their heads, the sky provided a striking spectacle; its color had turned a soft, deep violet, and almost devoid of the lightreflecting haze found at lower levels. Looking down, they tried in vain to detect any curvature of the earth's horizon." ${ }^{4}$


[^2]So here we have very similar accounts from multiple scientists and different countries that clearly state they could not see any curvature of the earth from those high altitudes. One even said that the earth appeared to be a flat disk with an upturned (not a downturned) edge. And yet, today the initial knee-jerk reaction of most people when they hear someone suggest that the earth might indeed be flat (instead of the perfect sphere we see in every NASA/space agency "image") is one of complete unbelief and incredulity. Those who react this way simply think the idea is ridiculous; they dismiss the person who suggested it and consider them a fool.

The first picture of earth taken from "space" (an altitude of 65 miles or 343,200 feet) was in 1946 from a camera mounted on a V-2 rocket that the United States confiscated from the Nazis after World War 2. It shows a perfectly straight or flat horizon. Later, they launched other V-2 rockets and claimed to reach an altitude of 100 miles. They immediately started producing and releasing composite images of pieced together photos showing the earth's horizon curving. However, when I superimposed a semitransparent picture of NASA's piecemeal V-2 images from 100 miles high over a NASA picture from the International Space Station which claims to be 265 miles high, there was more curvature at 100 miles. It seems the government was trying to cover their tracts and didn't realize that someone might someday compare pictures that are over 70 years apart. I have also included a screenshot below from an old documentary about the high-altitude $\mathrm{X}-15$ plane. It also shows a perfectly, flat horizon behind the aircraft after it reached 67 miles high or 354,200 feet.


## Haveier man timath AIRSPAEE



## First Photo From Space

In 1946, tocket bome exmerns gave as our Lrat look at Earth ficen begond the atmosphare


Dy Tary Raikhment



In spite of these early scientific balloon missions that reached altitudes of 51,000 feet to over 62,000 feet resulting in testimonies from scientists that they could not detect any curvature of the earth, many people claim that they have seen the curvature of the earth from commercial airliners that fly between 30,000 to 40,000 feet. First, I have flown overseas and across America many times in a window seat and I have never witnessed or caught any earth curvature in a photograph. Second, I have a friend that has been a commercial pilot for almost thirty years, and he is now a firm Biblical, flat earth believer. He has shared many things about
his years of flying that confirm a flat, non-rotating earth and that satellites in some vacuum of "outer space" do not exist. The curve that people are "remembering" is simply from NASA cartoon images and fisheye lens footage that has been branded into their brains for decades.

Once a friend on Facebook asked me to help him in a debate he was having with his brother over the subject of flat earth. His brother claimed to have seen the curvature of the earth while flying on the Concorde. For those of you who may not know about the Concorde, it was a British-French supersonic passenger jet that operated from 1976-2003. It flew at an average speed of $1,350 \mathrm{mph}$ and had a cruising altitude of 56,000 to 60,000 feet.

So, when my friend asked me to help with his brother's arguments, I simply searched for a YouTube video of someone flying on the Concorde. I found a 1998 video of British Airways Flight 0002 from JFK to London, dated November 7, 1998. The video starts from takeoff and records the in-flight screen in the cabin showing them flying at 59,000 feet at Mach 2 . The passenger frequently turned his video camera to the window where a perfectly flat horizon could be seen. Just as Auguste Piccard and the Russian aeronauts stated, no curvature of the earth could be seen at 59,000 feet.

Furthermore, I also checked footage from the last commercial flight of the Concorde BA002 from JFK to London. Below is the grayscale screen shot of the perfectly flat horizon of earth taken from a window seat from over 50,000 feet up just as the sun was beginning to rise.


Moreover, both flights were between New York and London which according to Google Earth is 3,459 miles. The earth curvature formula for a sphere of 24,901 miles in circumference (what they claim the earth is) is 8 inches per miles SQUARED. For flights "across the pond" a 1,298-mile-high earth curvature bulge must be accounted for if they are flying around a ball. Also, flying at $1,350 \mathrm{mph}$ means that the Concorde was covering 22.5 miles every minute. That 22.5 miles equates to 337 feet of alleged earth curvature that would have to be dealt with every minute by the pilot/plane to maintain a consistent altitude of 59,000 .

That comes to having to nose down the aircraft 5,055 feet or nearly a mile every 15 minutes.

## PYTHAGOREAN PROOF OF CURVATURE FOR A BALL WITH A <br> RADIUS OF 3959 MILES <br> (USING AutoCAD 2015 WITH 15-DIGIT PRECISION)



A commercial airliner that averages 525 mph is covering 8.75 miles per minute or 131.25 miles every 15 minutes. There is 11,484 feet of earth curvature in 131.25 miles and dividing that by 4 means that a regular commercial pilot should be nosing his aircraft down 2,871 feet (or over half a mile) every 15 minutes. My friend who is a commercial pilot told me that he has never made any such adjustments to nose the airplane DOWN to maintain altitude over the fictitious ball earth. I have heard other pilots who have concurred that they fly only level paths across the earth.

Although these proofs are presented to people, more often than not cognitive dissonance kicks in. Then begins the reciting of years of media and public-school/government programming. Typical thoughts and/or responses include:
"How could any rational human being in this modern age actually believe the earth is flat? Haven't they seen the pictures and videos from space? Haven't they seen eclipses? What about the ISS? Haven't these flat earthers seen ships and boats disappear over the curve of the earth at the horizon? How about Magellan's circumnavigation of the earth? What about satellites and the moon landings? How do they explain day and night and the seasons?"

Of course, I could go on and on with the questions and challenges I have heard raised by those who reject the subject of flat earth as soon as it comes up. Like I mentioned above, we are all products of government programming through education and media. Then why have well educated engineers, PhDs, geometry and science teachers, commercial jet pilots, Army Rangers, former helicopter pilots, ex-NASA employees, basketball stars, Navy Missiles operators, an Army NATO officer in charge of radar detection of low-flying Russian attack aircraft, former atheists, and many others (who were also indoctrinated through education and media) come to believe that we have been lied to about the shape of the earth and the cosmos? Is it that there is real, tangible evidence that proves the earth to be flat and they are actually open to researching it? Could it be that there has been a Satanic conspiracy playing out over many centuries to hide the true nature of creation in order to turn people away from the God of creation who is the God of the Bible, the true Messiah and Savior our Lord Jesus Christ?

Before we delve deep into the Biblical side of this issue, let's answer the first question:

## "Is there real scientific evidence/visual evidence/mathematical evidence that the Earth is flat?"

Now, when I use the phrase "real scientific evidence," I mean something that is observable, testable, and repeatable by the average person. In other words, tests done using the real scientific method, not the theoretical surmising and imaginative speculations taught by biased college professors or celebrity "scientists." I am not talking about something where I must just trust the word or testimony of some "expert" or astronaut who has allegedly witnessed something that I can never see or been somewhere I can never go. Believing something that you have not seen, experienced, or researched/tested for yourself is called walking in faith. Sadly, most people have built their entire belief system regarding creation and the shape of the earth on what others told them and not on what they have researched, tested, and observed themselves. That would mean their faith is in "science" and that can be as strong of a belief system as any religion in the world.

This massive resurgence of questioning the shape of the earth really took off in 2015. One of the main reasons that there has been such an explosion in the number of people who now know the earth is flat is because so many people have gone out and conducted their own earth curvature tests with high zoom cameras and telescopes. On March 2, 2015, Nikon announced their Coolpix P900 would soon be released. It is a "...superzoom digital bridge camera. With $83 \times$ zoom limit and a maximum 2000 mm 35 mm equivalent focal length, it was the greatest-zooming bridge camera at the time of its announcement, a record now held by its successor, the Nikon Coolpix P1000." ${ }^{5}$ Placing this piece of technology in the hands of the average, everyday person has produced irrefutable evidence that we do not live on a sphere with a circumference of 24,901 miles and this is why...

[^3]

## Eight Inches Per Miles SQUARED

The Pythagorean theorem is the math formula used to figure out the curve of the earth. It is really very simple. For a sphere with a circumference of 24,901 miles, the amount/rate of curvature comes to 8 inches per miles squared. That means the ball earth must curve or drop 8 inches in the first mile. However, the equation at two miles would be 8 inches $\mathrm{x}(2 \times 2)$ which would be 8 inches x 4 equaling 32 inches. Three miles would be 8 inches $x(3 \times 3$ ) which is 8 inches $x 9$ equaling 72 inches or 6 feet. At ten miles, the curve or drop would be 8 inches $\mathrm{x}(10 \mathrm{x} 10)$ which is 8 inches $\times 100$ equaling 800 inches or 66.6 feet. To put this in perspective, the average height of each story in a multi-story building is 10 feet. That means 66.6 feet would be higher than a six-story building.

Fig. 2.


Our first curvature test was performed by me, a former member of our church, and Paul Kelley (graduated from LSU with an engineering degree) on April 30, 2016 from Fairhope, Alabama looking across Mobile Bay. From Fairhope we were able to look northeast at the Port of Mobile and the downtown city of Mobile, Alabama. We used a Nikon P900 and a telescope and checked distances by Google Earth and other maps. In that first test, we could see ships in the water at distances up to 12 miles away. That should be impossible if the earth is a globe.


We also conducted tests from Fairhope on July 17, 2017, February 3, 2018 and April 19, 2019. Unfortunately, due to the atmosphere (surface heat, humidity, temperature, evaporation) we were unable to see a lot during our July 2017 test. However, the April 2016 and February 2018 tests both produced some amazing pictures and videos of things that we should not have been able to see due to the alleged curvature of the earth. For instance, in February 2018, we videoed (through the Nikon P900) the USS Alabama in Battleship Park in Mobile, Alabama from 12.4 miles away. As we panned the camera slightly to the right of the USS Alabama, we could see cars and trucks getting on HWY 98 and crossing the bridge over Mobile Bay.

## Cars and trucks crossing l-10 Bridge from over 10 miles away...

To confirm the heights of the bridges above the water, I called the bridge division of the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT). I asked for the height of the bridges we could see from across Mobile Bay, measuring from the water to the bottom of the bridge. According to ALDOT engineers, the height of the HWY 98 bridge is 25 feet and the I-10 bridge is 16 feet. Using the Pythagorean theorem to calculate the amount of curvature we should experience across 12.4 miles; it comes out to an average curvature of 7.98 inches or approximately 8 inches per miles SQUARED. When we factored in the height of the camera at 5 feet, there should have been 62.25 feet (more than a sixstory building) of earth curvature blocking our view of the cars and trucks going across the HWY 98 bridge out of Battleship Park. In fact, we could see at least 12-15 feet under the bridge which means that we were only missing $10-$ 13 feet due to distance perspective issues of atmospheric conditions and the vanishing point (the visual limits of our eyes or any magnification device).

We also recorded video that day of cars and trucks moving across the I-10 bridge from 10.12 miles away. That bridge is only 16 feet off the water and our view of it should have been blocked by over 36 feet of earth curvature. It was crystal
clear without any distortion and we were monitoring both the air and water temperatures so none of this was due to refraction or inverted superior mirages. We also saw small fishing boats in the water at distances of 10-13 miles which is way past where they disappear to the naked eye at the horizon. If those boats had disappeared over the curvature of the earth, there is no way that a high zoom camera or a telescope could pull those boats magically back into view.


I came across this testimony in the 1899 book Zetetic Cosmogony that corroborates our seeing ships in the water at the distance of 12 miles. "To the Editor of Earth Review. Sir,--In August last I, with several other friends, being in Oban for a holiday, took a trip for a day in a small yacht on Loch Lorne, and being a glorious sunshiny day and so calm that not a ripple was seen, and being becalmed for an our about mid-day, we observed a good many sights of various kinds. Amongst other things that we saw was a yacht, which the captain told us was at 12 miles distant. We saw all the mast and part of the hull, and to get a better view of her we took our binocular opera glass (a good one). Now, sir, wouldn't it require a funny curvature table either with or without the odd fractions to explain how we saw the hull of that vessel twelve miles off? According to the table
furnished by the present Astronomer Royal recently, it ought to have been 66 feet below the line of sight; but the 'table' that we saw it from was the side of our yacht, and we concluded the sea was level." ${ }^{6}$


These kinds of tests with high zoom cameras and even lasers have been done over long distances by thousands of people all over the world. And recently, some researchers have started using infrared lenses and filters to see even greater distances with their equipment. On July 16, 2016, Marc Bret provided proof that the earth is flat without even trying as he set the world record for distant landscape photography.

The picture was of Barre des Ecrins in the French Alps taken from Pic de Finestrelles, a distance of 440 km (273.4 miles). Bret's picture was taken from an altitude of 2,820 meters as the sun rose behind the mountain range making a silhouette. The height of Barre des Ecrins is 4,102 meters. According to the math, 4,920 meters ( 3 miles) of earth curvature should have been blocking this photographer's view of the French Alps. That means the Barre des Ecrins

[^4]should have been hidden by 819 meters ( 2,687 feet which is over half a mile) of earth curvature. ${ }^{7}$

Another great example of long distance and time-lapse photography that absolutely proves the earth to be flat is the work of the non-flat earther Josh Nowicki. Many of his photos and time-lapse videos of the Chicago skyline are taken from Grand Mere State Park near Stevensville, Michigan and Warren Dunes State Park in Sawyer, Michigan (which according to Google Earth is 52 miles across Lake Michigan). Using the math of a sphere that is 24,901 miles in circumference ( 8 inches per miles squared) and factoring in the camera height of six feet above the surface of the water, the amount of earth curvature that should be there is 1,601 feet or almost one third of a mile. According to Wikipedia, the tallest building in Chicago is the 108 -story Willis Tower (formerly the Sears Tower) standing at 1,451 feet.

Needless to say, the Willis Tower should be hidden from view by the 150 feet of left-over earth curvature. That also means that none of the skyscrapers in Chicago, like the Trump International Hotel, the Aon Center or the famous landmark 875 N. Michigan Avenue, should be seen from Michigan...but they are.

[^5]

Of course, those who attempt to debunk these longdistance sightings of skylines, boats, and mountains will either make a false claim about the math or that refraction or atmospheric lensing causes the images to be pulled up over the curve of the earth. Sometimes, they claim that the image is a superior mirage. However, after consulting with a former Air Force weather expert and conducting four earth curvature tests across Mobile Bay at different seasons of the year, it became easy to tell when atmospheric or surface temperatures were distorting images. There is always some distortion when the surface temperature is higher than the air temperature. But, as we observed on February 3, 2018, the moment the water temperature and air temperature both reached 54 degrees Fahrenheit, all haze and distortion across the bay vanished. It was crystal clear viewing for our high
zoom cameras and telescopes. Our view of the Port of Mobile and downtown Mobile from Fairhope, Alabama became more and more clear as the air temperature went a few degrees higher than the water/surface temperature. Furthermore, we were out there for hours in changing conditions and the view of distant objects never changed after the temperature inversion. We did not witness any superior mirages or atmospheric lensing after that.


Another great earth curvature test came from a couple in Utah. The man and his wife had a high-zoom camera set up on Utah Lake right outside of Provo, Utah. The man was on the east side of the lake while his wife went to Eagle Park which is on the west north west side of the lake. According to Google Earth, the distance between them was 7.53 miles and the man showed in the video that his camera was 30.5 inches off the surface of the water (which was frozen at the lake's shore). The earth curvature bulge that should be there
if the earth is a sphere is 20.75 feet. The man's wife had a bright flashlight. He videoed her walking down to the shore of the lake, then standing there with her light about 4 feet off the lake's surface. The man had her turn the light on and off to verify that it was her. Once confirmed, she then placed the flashlight on the frozen lake at her feet and he could still see the light from 7.53 miles away. The 20.75 feet of earth curvature was not there. ${ }^{8}$

The main rebuttal from those who want to deny this amazing evidence of flat earth is "refraction." Many people claim "refraction" like it is a trump card as to why we see farther than we should on a spherical earth. However, many people who cry refraction do not have a clue as to what they are talking about and sadly, most flat earthers don't know how to address it.

First of all, the very definition of refraction is the "...deflection from a straight path undergone by a light ray or energy wave in passing obliquely from one medium (such as air) into another (such as glass) in which its velocity is different. " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Did you catch that? Light waves are deflected or made to slightly change direction when passing from one medium (like air) into another (such as glass). So, let's state for the record that these earth curvature tests that are done across lakes or oceans or canals are not too great of distances where the weather condition would be drastically different. These tests usually take place over just several hours with minimal changes in temperature and humidity. Furthermore, the light waves from distant objects are moving through one medium which is air. The light waves are not passing from one medium through to a completely

[^6]different one. And though there may be some pockets of air that have slightly different densities (in one location like Mobile Bay or Lake Michigan), the amount of refraction is minuscule when compared to what is needed to bend an image up over hundreds of feet of earth curvature. Here's an example:

Viewing An Object Beyond the Horizon


By simple trigonometry, we can work out the angle required to bend the light to point A , instead of it travelling to point D $\operatorname{Sin} x=0.110 / 30=0.00367$
Angle $\mathrm{x}=0.21^{\circ}$
So, some how light has to bent by $0.21^{\circ}$ to be able to see the object in full at point $B$


## Conclusion

- Refraction is the Globe Earthers' main line of defence for observing distant objects when they should be below the horizon
- Most don't understand curvature and certainly do not understand refraction
- Refraction simply can not explain these observations
- It's affect on light in the lower atmosphere is tiny in comparison to what is actually needed to bend the light sufficiently to see objects that should be hidden under the horizon (by curvature)
A lack of curvature is a much more plausible explanation

Another attempted rebuttal is the issue of temperature inversion and how that can distort images and create superior mirages. However, many people seem to misunderstand this concept. Even Dr. Danny Faulkner got it backwards in one of his flat earth debunk attempts. But according to the experts on a Smithsonian Channel episode, the right conditions for mirages, distortion, and the atmosphere bending light waves down (not up over the curve) is when surface temperature is higher than the air temperature above it.

To quote them, "Just now the ground is much warmer than the air above it. This creates a gradient of hot to cold air. And since cold air is denser or heavier than warm air, the two air masses create distinct layers. Those layers act like a lens, bending and distorting our familiar reality." ${ }^{10}$ Yet, in this Smithsonian episode where they are using a helicopter in a desert as an example, you can still see the helicopter in the distance perfectly. The only thing affected by the surface temperature being warmer than the air temperature is that you lose sight of part of the ground under

[^7]the helicopter as it lands on the desert surface. The ground under the helicopter becomes a mirror and looks like water. The only part that was obscured by this surface mirroring effect is the bottom of the helicopter landing skids. Consequently, when the surface temperature is lower than the air temperature, distortion and refraction almost disappear completely. Our teams have witnessed and documented this fact by doing multiple tests across Mobile Bay in different seasons and temperatures.

## Survey Says

A surveyor in the late 1800s, Mr. T. Westwood, wrote "In leveling, I work from Ordinance marks, or canal levels, to get the height above sea level. The puzzle to me used to be, that over several miles each level was and is treated throughout its whole length as the same level from end to end; not the least allowance being made for curvature. One of the civil engineers in this district, after some amount of argument on each side as to the reason why no allowance for curvature was made, said he did not believe anybody would know the shape of the earth in this life. "ll

Engineer, W. Winckler wrote regarding the earth's supposed curvature, "As an engineer of many years standing, I saw that this absurd allowance is only permitted in schoolbooks. No engineer would dream of allowing anything of the kind. I have projected many miles of railways and many more of canals and the allowance has not even been thought of, much less allowed for. This allowance for curvature means this - that it is 8 " for the first mile of a canal, and increasing at the ratio by the square of the distance in miles; thus a small navigable

[^8]canal for boats, say 30 miles long, will have, by the above rule an allowance for curvature of 600 feet. Think of that and then please credit engineers as not being quite such fools. Nothing of the sort is allowed. We no more think of allowing 600 feet for a line of 30 miles of railway or canal, than of wasting our time trying to square the circle." ${ }^{12}$
"The distance between the Red Sea at Suez and the Mediterranean Sea is 100 statute miles, the datum line of the Canal being 26 feet below the level of the Mediterranean, and is continued horizontally the whole way from sea to sea, there not being a single lock on the Canal, the surface of the water being parallel with the datum line. It is thus clear that there is no curvature or globularity for the whole hundred miles between the Mediterranean and the Red Sea; had there been, according to the Astronomic theory, the middle of the Canal would have been 1,666 feet higher than at either end, whereas the Canal is perfectly horizontal for the whole distance. The Great Canal of China, said to be 700 miles in length, was made without regard to any allowance for supposed curvature, as the Chinese believe the earth to be a Stationary Plane. I may also add that no allowance was made for it in the North Sea Canal, or in the Manchester Ship Canal, both recently constructed, thus clearly proving that there is no globularity in earth or sea, so that the world cannot possibly be a planet., ${ }^{13}$
"Let those who believe it is the practice for surveyors to make allowance for 'curvature' ponder over the following from the Manchester Ship Canal Company (Earth Review, October, 1893) 'It is customary in Railway and Canal constructions for all levels to be referred to a datum which

[^9]is nominally horizontal and is so shown on all sections. It is not the practice in laying out Public Works to make allowances for the curvature of the earth...

In projecting railways on a globe, the datum line would be the arc of a circle corresponding to the latitude of the place. That the datum line for the railway projections is always a horizontal line, proves that the general configuration of the world is horizontal. To support the globe theory, the gentlemen of the observatories should call upon the surveyor to prove that he allows the necessary amount for 'curvature.' But this is what the learned men dare not do, as it is well-known that the allowance for the supposed curvature is never made...

One hundred and eighteen miles of LEVEL railway, and yet the surface on which it is projected a globe? Impossible. It cannot be. Early in 1898 I met Mr. Hughes, chief officer of the steamer 'City of Lincoln.' This gentleman told me he had projected thousands of miles of level railway in South America, and never heard of any allowance for curvature being made. On one occasion he surveyed over one thousand miles of railway which was a perfect straight line all the way...

It is well known that in the Argentine Republic and other parts of South America, there are railways thousands of miles long without curve or gradient. In projecting railways, the world is acknowledged to be a plane, and if it were a globe the rules of projection have yet to be discovered. Level railways prove a level world, to the utter confusion of the globular school of impractical men with high salaries and little brains...

That in all surveys no allowance is made for curvature, which would be a necessity on a globe; that a horizontal line is in every case the datum line, the same line being continuous throughout the whole length of the work; and that the theodolite cuts a line at equal altitudes on either side of it, which altitude is the same as that of the instrument, clearly proves, to those who will accept proof when it is furnished, that the world is a plane (FLAT) and not a globe. "14

## Flatter Than A Pancake

It's obvious that surveyors believe their work and the railways and canals they plan depend on the earth being as "flat as a pancake." But did you know that there are many very large places (hundreds of square miles) on earth that are literally "flatter than a pancake?" This should not be possible on a sphere with a 24,901 miles circumference. However, the Departments of Geography at both Texas State University and Arizona State University did a study to see if the state of Kansas was flatter than a pancake. Their results:
> "The topographic transects of both Kansas and a pancake at millimeter scale are both quite flat, but this first analysis showed that Kansas is clearly flatter. Mathematically, a value of 1.000 would indicate perfect, platonic flatness. The calculated flatness of the pancake transect from the digital image is approximately 0.957, which is pretty flat, but far from perfectly flat. The confocal laser scan showed the pancake surface to be slightly rougher, still.

Measuring the flatness of Kansas presented us with a greater challenge than measuring the flatness of the

[^10]pancake. The state is so flat that the off-the-shelf software produced a flatness value for it of 1 . This value was, as they say, too good to be true, so we did a more complex analysis, and after many hours of programming work, we were able to estimate that Kansas's flatness is approximately 0.9997. That degree of flatness might be described, mathematically, as "damn flat." ${ }^{15}$

Kansas has a width of 410 miles and a length of 213 miles. That comes to 82,278 square miles of land that is "flatter than a pancake." The earth curvature bulge that should be across the 410 -mile width of Kansas if we live on a ball comes to a 9,261-foot-high arc. So how could the entire state of Kansas be "flatter than a pancake" or as the geography departments of two universities said, "perfectly flat?" But to make matters worse for the spherical earth religion, a 2014 article by The Atlantic sites another study by "... geographers at the University of Kansas, who just published a paper, "The Flatness of U.S. States," in Geographical Review, a peer-reviewed journal published by the American Geographical Society." The article entitled, 'Science: Several U.S. States, Led by Florida, Are Flatter Than a Pancake,' goes on to admit, "Once they'd developed their algorithm, Dobson and Campbell processed elevation data, gathered from NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, for the contiguous U.S. -48 states and the District of Columbia. (Why not Alaska and Hawaii? "We knew the answer for those well enough," Dobson told me; "we knew they weren't going to be the flattest.) ${ }^{16}$ In fact, Kansas came in $9^{\text {th }}$ behind Florida \#1, Louisiana \#2, Illinois \#3, South Carolina \#4, Minnesota \#5, Delaware \#6, North Dakota \#7 and Texas \#8. All of which begs the question, "How many

[^11]massive flat places can you have on what looks like a perfect sphere from every alleged picture of earth from NASA?"

There are also other places on earth that clearly defy the alleged curvature of the earth. For instance, the Bolivian salt flat called Salar de Uyuni is the world's largest salt flat measuring 4,086 square miles ( 80 miles across). The top layer of salt is several meters thick and has "extraordinary flatness with the average elevation variations within one meter (3 feet) over the entire area of Salar...Following rain, a thin layer of water transforms the flat into the world's largest mirror." According to the math ( 8 inches per miles squared), a sphere with a circumference of 24,901 mile should curve or drop just over 455 feet. That is the height of a 45 -story skyscraper, and yet the flatness of the ENTIRE 4,086 square miles of Salar de Uyuni varies less than 3 feet. As the old saying goes, "Houston...we have a problem."

Another scientific fact that can easily be observed is that water always finds its level. That's why rivers flow and empty into the oceans. It is the reason water is used in construction levels. It is also why the oceans are said to be at sea level, not sea curve. Undisturbed water is level and needs a container. These facts may seem elementary and logical, but they are testable, observable and repeatable (otherwise known as the true scientific method). So, if water is level (and it is) and if $71 \%$ of the earth is water (and it is), then that should be enough to prove the earth is indeed flat. However, when the obvious facts contradict the heliocentric, sun-worshipping model of the scientism priests, they immediately cry "GRAVITY" (a mysterious force that is still only a theory). And if gravity doesn't work for the scenario then they say, "The earth is too big to see the curve" (though they have claimed to see the curve from 40,000 feet). Or they have to come up with magical and
mysterious forces like "Dark Energy" and "Dark Matter" which once again cannot be tested or proven.

Neil deGrasse Tyson is a famous astrophysicist and winner of a 2004 NASA award. The following comments he made on his StarTalk radio podcast expose the esoteric sophistry these "experts" claim is science. When asked the question, "What is gravity?" Neil deGrasse Tyson immediately answered, "We have no idea. Ok...next question." His co-host that asked the question just says, "Wooooow." Then he adds, "Here's the difference ...we can describe gravity. We can say what it does to other things. We can measure it, predict with it. But when you start asking like '...what it is...' I don't know. In an Einsteinian answer, we would say that gravity is the curvature of space and time. ${ }^{\prime 17}$ But Tyson's earlier admission that he did not know what gravity is means that he has no idea what "curvature of space and time" means.

How is it that gravity is so powerful that it can hold the oceans down to the earth and allegedly make them curve to form our spherical earth, but a cloud can hold rain in it in the air, a rabbit can hop, and a bumble bee can fly? Wouldn't electromagnetism and density vs. buoyancy explain these things more realistically? Coulomb's law, or Coulomb's inverse-square law, is a law of physics that describes force interacting between static electrically charged particles. Coulomb's law can be used to derive Gauss's law, and vice versa. The law has been tested extensively, and all observations have upheld the law's principle. And would you be surprised to find out that "...Coulomb's law (which was first published in 1784 by French physicist Charles-Augustin de Coulomb and was essential to the development of the

[^12]theory of electromagnetism) being an inverse-square law, it is analogous (or almost exactly the same) to Isaac Newton's inverse-square law of universal gravitation? ${ }^{18}$

But what if I told you (and document) that even NASA and the rest of our military industrial complex admit in their technical manuals that they design aircraft, missiles, other long-range projectiles, and radio waves to fly over a "nonrotating, flat earth"? Would you believe that you have been lied to and brainwashed your entire life if you could see that they must build things (like supersonic aircraft) to work the way things truly are? Believe it or not, these manuals and declassified document do exist. The first government document that I came across that admitted a flat, stationary earth (as the Bible has always taught) was NASA Reference Publication 1207 from 1988. It is entitled "The Derivation and Definition of a Linear Aircraft Model." It states in the summary and the conclusion of a manual full of very complicated, mathematical equations:
"This report documents the derivation (mathematical origin) and definition of a linear aircraft model for a rigid aircraft of constant mass flying over a FLAT, NONROTATING EARTH.'"

In laymen's terms, that means that aircraft are designed to fly over a FLAT, NON-ROTATING EARTH. So, if the earth is a sphere/ball, why would any NASA engineer/rocket scientist even bother or waste time writing a reference manual with pages and pages of mathematical equations on the derivation and definition of aircraft flying over a flat, non-rotating earth? Why compute for something that doesn't

[^13]exist? Of course, some have put forth the idea that these "flat earth equations" are to simplify the math, but I must call bull on that lame excuse. Aren't these NASA people MIT, Harvard, Yale, Stanford, Georgia Tech aerospace engineers? Why do they need to simplify the math down to something that they claim doesn't exist? Aren't they trained and paid to do that kind of math? And with things like rockets, Mach 4 aircraft, and even "Space" Shuttle landings, you would think that they would ALWAYS have to calculate for the alleged curvature of the earth. So why waste time with "flat earth equations"? It's like saying that we must simplify the math down to "Thor Hammer equations" before we can design a $\log$ splitter to work with hydraulic pressure. If it doesn't exist, why use it for anything? But as I will address later in this book, NASA document 1207 is just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to government documents that admit the earth is flat.

Jesus said this, "If I have told you earthly things, and ye believe not, how shall ye believe, if I tell you of heavenly things? " (John 3:12)

And the Apostle Paul preached, "Beware therefore, lest that come upon you, which is spoken of in the prophets; Behold, ye despisers, and wonder, and perish: for I work a work in your days, a work which ye shall in no wise believe, though a man declare it unto you" (Acts 13:40-41).

Many things are being revealed in these last days. Will you believe the evidence and then believe God's Word? Or will you keep believing the liars of scientism who claim that all of this beauty and order came from a massive explosion and that we evolved from monkeys on a spinning, flying ball?

## Chapter 5

## The 2015 Awakening

"Now in the first year of Cyrus king of Persia, that the word of the LORD spoken by the mouth of Jeremiah might be accomplished, the LORD stirred up the spirit of Cyrus king of Persia, that he made a proclamation throughout all his kingdom, and put it also in writing, saying, Thus saith Cyrus king of Persia, All the kingdoms of the earth hath the LORD God of heaven given me; and he hath charged me to build him an house in Jerusalem, which is in Judah. Who is there among you of all his people? The LORD his God be with him and let him go up" (2 Chronicles 36:22-23).

Jesus said, "And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free" (John 8:32).

[^14]"I was blown away when the truth of flat earth hit me. I am now a follower of Jesus Christ." - Patrick Dodd (social media comment)

The LORD God of the Bible (also revealed as Jesus Christ), allowed His people in Israel to be taken into Babylonian captivity for seventy years for their wickedness and rebellion toward Him. The Lord sent prophets to warn them and to call them to repentance, but they would not listen. So, the Lord allowed Nebuchadnezzar, the King of Babylon, to destroy His Temple that He had directed King Solomon to build about three hundred years earlier. In the process, the King of Babylon took most of the surviving Israelites into captivity in Babylon. However, God did not leave them without hope. He gave them a very specific time in which He would end their punishment and bring them back to their homeland. Seventy years later, when that time of restoration and deliverance came, there had been a lot of changes in the kingdom of Babylon. The Medes and Persians had conquered it and at that time, Cyrus the Great was king of Persia and ruled from Babylon. It was then that God stirred up the spirit of Cyrus (a pagan, Gentile king) to bless His people and to fulfill the prophetic message that He had given through Jeremiah the prophet.

I share this passage to make a very important point: God Almighty can and will use anyone He wants to awaken and bless His people and bring His prophecies to pass. He can use people who don't know Him at all, and even use people who serve other gods. God can stir anyone's heart to do His will or fulfill His purposes in the earth. And this is exactly what He started doing in 2014 and 2015. God began to stir up some non-Christians and Christians to look into some very important Biblical truths that had been buried by what the Bible defines as "science falsely so-called" (1 Timothy

6:20). These truths had been hidden by alleged journeys into "space" by the early Russian satellites, cosmonauts, and later the United States government known as the CIA and NASA (made up of former Nazi scientists and Freemason astronauts). It is interesting to note that the original Hebrew word for "stirred up" in 2 Chronicles $36: 22$ is uwr: a primitive root that conveys the idea of opening the eyes. It means to awaken from sleep or to arouse, incite, or provoke. And that is the best way to describe what started happening... an awakening!

Our little church had been praying for revival since we started in January of 2009. We were all a bit weary from the many battles, even though there were small victories here and there. We knew deep down that there had to be more that God wanted to do. We knew that according to Bible prophecy we were nearing the time of great tribulation preceding the second coming of Jesus Christ. In our prayer meetings, we cried out for God to pour out His power, glory and truth upon the lost (people who do not know Jesus Christ as their Lord and Savior), the church, and our nation. We prayed that God would deliver people from Satan's deceptions by the power of His Spirit and the truth of His Word the Bible.

## Unprecedented

In a time of prayer on December 31, 2013, the Lord Jesus spoke to me the word "unprecedented" in reference to the coming year. I immediately shared that word on Facebook and in our church service the following Sunday. Within a week North America experienced what meteorologists referred to as unprecedented cold temperatures as 49 states broke low temperature records. Just a month later, I
discovered that we were not alone in our unprecedented weather when I saw a Jerusalem Post article on February 10, 2014 entitled, "Israel Experiencing Unprecedented Drought Conditions. ${ }^{19}$ And then on March 6, 2014, The Guardian reported, "Queensland drought spreads to an unprecedented $80 \%$ of the state: Drought-declared area is now the largest in the beleaguered state's history as state minister works to tap federal help. ${ }^{20}$ On May 2, 2014, Accuweather.com posted, "Unprecedented: 100\% of California is in a Drought ${ }^{21}$

Another unprecedented occurrence was the increase in great earthquakes. Of course, Jesus Christ prophesied the rise of great earthquakes in the last days leading up to His return, "And great earthquakes shall be in divers places, and famines, and pestilences; and fearful sights and great signs shall there be from heaven" (Luke 21:11). CBS reported: "If you think there have been more earthquakes than usual this year, you're right. A new study finds there were more than twice as many big earthquakes in the first quarter of 2014 as compared with the average since 1979." "We have recently experienced a period that has had one of the highest rates of great earthquakes ever recorded," said lead study author Tom Parsons, a research geophysicist with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in Menlo Park, California. The average rate of big earthquakes -- those larger than magnitude 7 -- has been 10 per year since 1979 , the study reports. That rate rose to 12.5 per year starting in 1992, and then jumped to 16.7 per year starting in $2010-$ - a 65 percent increase compared to the rate since 1979. This increase

[^15]accelerated in the first three months of 2014 to more than double the average since 1979 , the researchers report." ${ }^{22}$

I continued to see the word "unprecedented" in newspapers and on television throughout 2014, but there was one unprecedented event that slipped under the radar toward the end of the year. On November 9, 2014, a strange New Age guy by the name of Eric Dubay published his book along with a documentary entitled, "The Flat-Earth Conspiracy." In these works, he shared arguments that were presented in the late 1800s and early 1900s by Zetetic (Flat Earth) Christians like Samuel Rowbotham, David Wardlaw Scott, and Lady Elizabeth Anne Mould Blount. Dubay even included a chapter on the Bible entitled, "The Flat Earth Bible."

This led to another non-Christian by the name of Mark Sargent (who I now believe was a preemptive, controlledopposition plant) to investigate this seemingly ridiculous flat earth idea. Early in 2015, Mark Sargent released a series of YouTube videos called "Flat Earth Clues." In these "clues" he explored the possibility that we are inside of a "Truman show"-like, enclosed system that is hidden from the general public. As people began to share videos by Dubay and Sargent, the idea that the earth could be flat (as the Bible has always said it was) and we have been deceived started spreading like wildfire!

However, I believe one of the most credible witnesses that stepped forward to confirm the truth of flat earth was a man named Sean McCrary. I spoke with Sean on the phone in February of 2019 for over an hour and a half, and he is a

[^16]great guy. In 2015, at the time of his interviews, he was a United States Navy Missile System Instructor. Prior to that job, McCrary was a NATO Sea Sparrow Surface Missile System operator who went through very rigorous electronic training, troubleshooting, with very detailed schematics on how the system worked. He stated in a public interview on Truth Frequency Radio on November 4, 2015, "...that with my missile system, we have the ability to track something 50 nautical miles ( 57.539 statute miles) away with our radar that we use for this missile system. " He stated that his line-of-sight, tracking radar was a 2 -degree pencil beam that stayed continually locked on target. He explained that his tracking radar could track an enemy aircraft flying 100 feet off the surface of the water from 45-50 nautical miles away. This should be impossible on a spherical earth with a circumference of 24,901 miles. The amount of earth curvature that should be blocking that tracking radar (even when you calculate the height of the radar at 120 feet above the surface of the ocean) is 455 feet. That means the aircraft would have to be flying above 455 feet to be detected and continually tracked by that missile system radar.

Navy Missile Instructor McCrary also confirmed, "There is absolutely no circuitry designed into the engineering of our missile system that accounts for anything closely resembling the Coriolis Effect" (the spin of the earth). This from a man who was trained on every aspect of this missile system and operated this weapons system for ten years. And he is not the only military veteran who has noticed some things just don't add up.

## Missiles Over the Curve?

At our fourth earth curvature test across Mobile Bay, I had the privilege to meet and spend the day with Lieutenant Colonel (retired) Bryan Read. He is a 20 -year U.S. Army veteran. Bryan served as a Missile Defense Commander and an Airspace Tactical Control Officer for NATO in Germany. Additionally, he served as the Defense Attaché to the United States Embassy in Tashkent, Uzbekistan and later as a Foreign Area Officer attached to the United States Army Special Operations Command in the Central Asian Theater. Bryan also served as a professor at the United States Military Academy at West Point.

The Lieutenant Colonel emailed me months before the test stating, "I was a Tactical Control Officer and commander for US Army Missile Defense units in Germany. I now realize that our radars did not need to factor in curvature -our Improved Continuous Wave Acquisition radar (ICWAR) could see up to 45 miles. The ICWAR was used to pick up low-flying, high-performance aircraft and helicopters. We were concerned about the NAP Earth flight paths of enemy aircraft; meaning their use of geographical features to hide their approach to our missile site or airbase." (Nap-of-theEarth is a type of very low-altitude flight course used by military aircraft to avoid enemy detection and attack in a high-threat environment). ${ }^{23}$ He later shared on our August 20, 2019 episode of Prophecy Quake radio show that they were able to track low-flying aircraft ( 50 to 100 feet high) with their line-of-sight radar at a distance of 45 miles. The ICWAR system itself is only about $8-10$ feet tall. Doing the math of our alleged sphere of 8 inches per miles squared, there should have been 1,128 feet of earth curvature blocking

[^17]the line-of-sight of that radar system. Even if you elevate the radar to 50 feet high, that still comes to 880 feet of earth curvature that should be blocking the ability of the ICWAR to track nap-of-the-earth aircraft and helicopters.


One of our ministry school students sent me and interesting article from DefenseNews.com that backs up what the Lieutenant Colonel shared. It is entitled, "When it comes to missile-killing lasers, the US Navy is ready to burn its ships. "The article is about the HELIOS laser weapon that is being developed by Lockheed Martin and is scheduled to be deployed by 2021. The Article states, "With the progress on HELIOS, the Navy is getting closer to fielding a laser that could help it knock down Chinese and Russian antiship cruise missiles at very close ranges, said Bryan Clark, a retired submarine officer and analyst with the Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments... 'The laser being able to shoot down cruise missiles: That will happen,' he said...Ideally, an incoming missile wouldn't get within 100 miles of its intended target. '" ${ }^{24}$

[^18]

After reading the Defense News article, I looked up some information on cruise missiles. I discovered that Russian cruise missiles like the Kalibr series have a flight ceiling (highest altitude) of 1,000 meters but, they only fly 20 meters (or around 65 feet) above the surface of the water as they approach a targeted ship. ${ }^{25}$ Lasers are straight, line-of-sight weapons so there is no way the Navy could use a laser to shoot down a Russian cruise missile over 100 miles away if the earth is a sphere. The amount of earth curvature bulge that should block line of sight on a Russian cruise missile at 100 miles away is 6,666 feet ( 1.2 miles). What if we add 80 feet to the height of the laser weapon because of it being mounted on a Navy ship? The amount of earth curvature bulge that should be blocking the line-of-sight is still 5,287 feet, which is over a mile high. In fact, with the laser mounted 80 feet above the water on a ship, they could not hit a Russian cruise missile at even a range of 50 miles due to 1,016 feet of earth curvature bulge. So, a retired Navy officer talking about hitting a Russian cruise missile from over 100 miles away with a laser is either absolute crazy talk, or it proves that the earth is flat.

[^19]
## The Impact

Articles like the one in Defense News, along with videos and books from people like Dubay and Sargent made people realize that they should question the trustworthiness of NASA and other government "space" agencies. Testing whether the earth is a sphere and observing reality is simple, and yet most have never even thought to question what we are told. The release of improved high zoom cameras (like the Nikon P900) and the ability for everyday people to conduct high altitude balloon tests and laser tests has fueled a massive growth in the number of flat earth believers over the last few years. The math simply doesn't lie (although some "debunkers" lie about the math). We can see things at distances that we should not be able to see if we lived on a sphere with a circumference of 24,901 miles.

The narrative of the fake news media says that "flat earthers" are just science deniers or fundamental religious nuts. However, average people (not government agencies) performing real science experiments (observable, measurable, and repeatable tests) have convinced millions of people that we have been lied to about the shape of the earth and the true nature of the sun, moon, and stars. And when people began to see that the Bible taught these truths about creation all along, they began returning to faith in the Bible and the God of the Bible.

Here are just a few testimonies that I received from people who were lost, but came to God once they saw these truths:
"Dean Odle, I would like to thank you for this video. I recently found God almost two months ago. I used to believe in the ancient astronaut theory and that we were a small
speck in a huge universe hurdling through space. I came across a flat earth video on YouTube and decided to check it out because I was curious what the fuss was about. Since that video I researched for weeks on end why Nasa would be lying to everyone. I finally saw God's fingerprint when I was $100 \%$ convinced the earth was flat and that everything, we know has been a lie and that God's word is more true than we know. I cried when I found God. Why? Well, I finally had understanding, life made sense now. I no longer question God's word and if we are alone in the universe. I'm thankful for you and this video and to help as many people as we can realize the truth of God's word. I have subscribed to your channel and would like to attend through YouTube since I'm in South Carolina. I know so many people who cannot see the truth or that think I'm crazy but I know now that God's word is true and I believe he used the flat earth theory to show me that he is real before the end of days. He works in mysterious ways. I was brought up in a family that had several different beliefs, so I never knew God as good as I wanted to. Please help me get to know him better and I appreciate you and everything you're doing! God Bless you and thank you!!!" - Wes from South Carolina.
"I was raised in a home with a Catholic mother and a Protestant father, but neither of them were believers in Jesus Christ or God. My parents were sinners all their lives and I grew up in an environment of alcoholism, adultery, physical, and psychological abuse. When I left the house after finishing university, I was somewhat of an atheist and totally disconnected from God. Having no spiritual background or protection, I easily fell victim to Satan and all his temptations (fornication, drugs, alcohol, etc.). Despite all my suffering and pain, I always felt like there was a silent voice telling to me to stop, and I often cried tears of despair and prayed, calling out for His help.

I believe the Lord touched me 4 years ago. After leading a reckless party lifestyle of alcohol, fornication and cocaine addiction, one day I decided enough was enough and I quit EVERYTHING - cold turkey. No therapy, no AA, no outside help. I left that whole sordid life behind, and now I realize that my change of heart and direction in life was thanks to the blessings of my Lord and Savior Jesus Christ. I was sure that some Divine force was helping me, and He was. Nevertheless, I still felt an emptiness inside that I couldn't explain.

In 2016 and 2017, I researched all the conspiracy facts and started to unravel the lies and deception of the Satanic/Luciferian system that we live in (banking fraud, counterfeit religions, corrupt governments, secret societies, pedophile rings, MK Ultra mind control, etc.). This started to really wake me up to the fact that a deeply sinister force was at work in our world.

I started following New Age spirituality, but I quickly realized that it was a dangerous mix of occult practices and symbolism, Freemasonry, and Eastern mysticism. Still searching for answers, I started watching videos on mudfossils and the Flat Earth and I studied this deeply. It made complete sense, and I remembered what I had learned as a young girl in Sunday school: that the Lord created all things in Heaven and Earth, including us!

Your video "The Flat Earth Can't Save You...Only Christ Jesus!" miraculously appeared as I was watching videos about the Flat Earth. Pastor Odle, your sermon changed my life forever. I am proud to say that today, I am a Born-Again Christian thanks to the grace and mercy of the Lord Jesus Christ and your passionate service to his cause on earth." Melani from Canada

On one of my YouTube videos (Longing for Revival), Cate commented, "I was woken up to the truth about flat earth whilst searching for the truth of this world. It has completely changed me. I am now born again and am studying The Holy Bible and have bought the series on DVD to listen to the Word of God in the car and at home whenever I can. I am drawing close to Jesus in these last days. Unfortunately, my family also think I am crazy and my husband has told my brother he thinks I have some sort of deluded personality disorder. It has become so difficult, as we have 4 children together. I am praying to God for strength."

Robert Moore (aka Paint Tank) testified on my Longing for Revival video on YouTube, "You have no idea what a blessing your videos and MP3s are. I was a Christian slowly sinking into entertainment as my god. Rock music, endless movies, TV shows, reading The Lord of the Rings again (3rd time), etc. My soul (mind) and spirit were becoming more and more filled with the garbage of this world as ENTERTAINMENT. All the old tricks of enjoying movies and music was fading and leaving me empty. I kept praying for God to draw me closer, but I continued to binge watch shows and enjoy falling asleep listening to ZZ Top, RUSH, and Van Halen.

> When I discovered the Flat Earth, Jesus woke me up and answered the "draw me closer" prayer. It was over. God was not OUTSIDE the universe in some DISTANT spiritual palace, he was only a few miles away (100-4000 or so?). He was close, VERY close. He was watching me. He wanted me to have a relationship with him, NOT my electronic devices. I was busted, exposed for the entertainment and worldly junkie I had become. I stumbled onto your channel, Pastor Dean Odle. God gave me a new
direction. I became filled with the Holy Spirit. Jesus became real to me. I started reading my Bible again. I read the book of Enoch. I started praying. Your sermons and Alexander Scourby's King James Bible MP3s replaced my rock music on my phone. I "broke up" with the Devil and gave him all of his stuff back (destroyed/burned over $\$ 4000$ worth of stuff).

My wife, family, and some friends think I am nuts.
Others have been awakened by me and are GRATEFUL for the Flat Earth Revelation. Keep doing what you are doing EXPOSING (not ENTERTAINING, as a former addict of that sort, I KNOW the difference) the evils of our world. If you have not done so already, I think looking at THE TRUTH IS STRANGER THAN FICTION You Tube channel will be of help to you (RESEARCH) in these last days. I want to make the trip from Myrtle Beach, SC to you church soon. May Christ Jesus richly bless you this day and every day till we see him split the firmament and call us to his side. Amen."

I could go on and on sharing the testimonies of nonChristians, atheists, agnostics, ancient alien believers, and lukewarm Christians who came to true faith in the Bible and our God and Savior Jesus Christ after they saw the evidences of the flat, stationary, enclosed earth, the nearness of the sun, moon, and stars and that the Bible revealed these things thousands of years ago. And as a minister of Jesus Christ for over 32 years, I have never seen any other Bible truth (outside of the simple gospel message) bring more atheists and agnostics to faith in the Bible and salvation through faith in the atoning death and resurrection of the Lord Jesus Christ. And when I ask people when God started opening their eyes to this truth of creation, a great majority say 2015. There is no doubt in my mind that this revelation of flat earth and true Biblical cosmology is a sovereign move of God to
combat the unbelief and Bible skepticism created by the many deceptions of Satan on this last generation.

In every true revival, there has been a restoration of some lost, ignored or misunderstood truth in the Bible. The Reformation under Martin Luther restored the truth of justification by faith without needing the trappings, rituals and works of the Roman Catholic system. The revivals under John Wesley in the 1700s and Charles Finney in the 1800s restored the truths of the responsibility of men and women to exercise their free-will to respond to the gospel of Jesus Christ by choosing to believe and repent of sin. The Azusa Street Revival of 1906 restored the truth of the gifts of the Holy Spirit given to the church on the day of Pentecost. And in these last days the Lord has revived His truths about His creation in order to free people from the antichrist scientism religion. I call it a religion because it is truly an exercise of faith to believe in "science" when much of it is actually unproven theories and wild speculations (that always seem to be contrary to God and His Bible).

For instance, consider this antichrist statement from Lawrence Krauss (an American Canadian theoretical physicist and cosmologist who is a professor in the School of Earth and Space Exploration at Arizona State University and a former professor at Yale University and Case Western Reserve University):
"The amazing thing is that every atom in your body came from a star that exploded. And, the atoms in your left hand probably came from a different star than your right hand. It really is the most poetic thing I know about physics: You are all stardust. You couldn't be here if stars hadn't exploded, because the elements - the carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, iron, all the things that matter for evolution - weren't created at
the beginning of time. They were created in the nuclear furnaces of stars, and the only way they could get into your body is if those stars were kind enough to explode. So, forget Jesus. The stars died so that you could be here today." ${ }^{26}$

This is the kind of antichrist, made up nonsense that several generations of people have been brainwashed with since birth. And God in His mercy and love for mankind, is revealing the truth about His creation, truths that can be observed and tested with true science (observable, measurable, and repeatable). Here are some of the Bible truths that are being restored to mankind by the One True God of Creation:

1) The earth is not a sphere but rather it is flat (as the Bible has stated for thousands of years).
2) The earth is covered by molten glass-like dome called the firmament.
3) Above the firmament is a massive ocean of water (that can be seen through the glass-like dome) and not the vacuum of space or stars and planets.
4) The sun, moon, and stars are not far away in "outer space." They are inside the firmament dome and move in a circuit over us just like the Bible told us in Genesis 1 and Psalm 19.
5) The earth is stationary. That means the earth is not spinning, orbiting the sun, or flying through a vast universe at $600,000 \mathrm{mph}$.

[^20]6) When the Lord God of the Bible made the earth, He engraved a circle (not a ball) on the flat earth and that act made a boundary all the way around the outer edge to hold the oceans. That outer edge is what we call Antarctica and it is the ice wall at the "...ends of the earth."
7) The North Pole is the only magnetic center of the circle of earth.
8) There is no South Pole which is why compasses don't work the same in the "Southern Hemisphere."
9) The planets are not other terrestrial spheres in "space." They are the "wandering stars" that do not follow the same course as the other stars.
10) The stars are not giant suns that are millions of light years away, but instead, they are much smaller and closer to us (which is why they can and do fall to earth sometimes).
11) NASA and other government leaders and agencies all over the world have conspired to hide certain truths of God (particularly about creation) in an Antichrist plot against the Lord Jehovah and His Messiah Jesus Christ.
12) When Jesus Christ returns to this earth (very soon), the firmament sky will be rolled back like a scroll and the stars will fall like figs shaken from a fig tree by a mighty wind.

The world is getting further and further from God because of their wickedness and Creator-denying, alien-loving "science." And yet, in His great patience and mercy, the Creator of heaven and earth still continues to draw people to Him. And He is doing it with Truths that remind us that He is near, He loves us and is concerned with our day to day coming and going.
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